Nexus of Classroom Environment and English Language Learning Skills in Higher Education in Pakistan

Hafiza Kashaf Arshad [1] Behzad Anwar [2] Muhammad Shoaib [3]

Abstract: *This study has been designed to examine the nexus between classroom environment and English language learning skills among students in higher education in Pakistan. It has been observed that the classroom environment has a favourable effect on students' language learning not only at school and college levels but also at the university level. A quantitative approach was used for this study. The students of the BS (4 Years) program constitute the population of the study. Cross-sectional research has been conducted, and a well-structured questionnaire has been used as a tool for data collection. The measuring tool consists of different variables, including identification, socio-demographic characteristics, classroom environment, and English language learning skills. A pilot test was done for 30 randomly selected students and the value Alpha was reported to be above .700. A statistics analysis was conducted, including the Normality test and Kendall's tau_b test. The study findings revealed that the classroom environment favourably affects the English language learning skills of the students at the tertiary level. The results of Kendall's tau_b test confirm the positive correlation between variables (classroom environment and English language learning skills).*

Key Words: Classroom Environment, English Language Learning, Higher Education, Classroom Discussion

Introduction

Classroom environment plays a vital role in the process of language learning for students at all levels, generally and particularly at the tertiary level (Ali et al., 2020; Gottfried, 2014; Wan & Cheng, 2019). It has been observed that there are different attributes of the classroom environment and English language learning skills (Qutob, 2018; Wong et al., 2006). The classroom environment consists of physical infrastructure, teacher-student interaction, classroom discussion, presentation and assignment activities, study support material, and time management (Dollmann & Rudolphi, 2020). Similarly, English language learning skills have also been dissected into four different domains: reading, listening, writing, and speaking (Lai, 2019; Lee, 2018; Ma, 2019). Therefore, this study has been intended to examine classroom environment and English language learning skills among students at the tertiary level.

The Study Context

The classroom environment for language learning has attracted research scholars and academicians (Yakob et al., 2023; Yang et al., 2022; Yuretich & Kanner, 2015). Several studies have been conducted in different contexts in the global south and global north (Weger, 2013; Yin et al., 2009; Yusuf et al., 2019). The subject also has attracted media, politicians, policymakers, educationists, and advocacy experts not only in advanced countries but also in developing countries (Sytsma et al., 2019; Tarrayo et al., 2023; Thu, 2020; Too, 2023; Ubbes et al., 2024). Advanced countries have tried to improve the classroom environment so that students can learn English (Anwar et al., 2024). However, developing countries are still in the

---

[1] M.Phil. Scholar, Department of English, University of Gujrat, Gujrat, Punjab, Pakistan.
Email: kashafarshad67@gmail.com
[2] Associate Professor, Department of English, University of Gujrat, Gujrat, Punjab, Pakistan.
Email: behzad.anwar@uog.edu.pk
[3] Associate Professor, Department of Sociology, University of Gujrat, Punjab, Gujrat, Pakistan.

process of transforming the classroom environment for better learning of language skills, and Pakistan is no exception (Shoaib et al., 2022). Hence, this study has been designed to examine the classroom environment and English language learning skills among students at the tertiary level.

Review of Literature

A huge body of literature has examined the classroom environment and language skills in the developing and developed countries (Bosmans & Hurd, 2016; Bueno-Alastuey & López Pérez, 2014; Chen & Yeh, 2019). The speaking skills of language learners were developed with the help of different approaches like content-based approach, form-focused, literature-based, and mixed approaches (Mart, 2019; Shoaib et al., 2023). In addition, a study found that the perception of the teacher is very important in a virtual classroom of language learning (Manegre & Sabiri, 2022). In Africa, language anxiety in a multilingual classroom is a result of using only one mode of instruction (Madonsela, 2015). In England, France, and Spain, educational institutions used technology to develop linguistic competence in a language-learning classroom (Macrory et al., 2012).

The study outlined that the L1 of an English adult migrant affects the interconnection between student and teacher in an English classroom (Ma, 2019; Shoaib et al., 2022). Likewise, the crux of the study revealed that teachers' participation is very important toward learners' autonomy of foreign language learners in a virtual classroom (Ludwig & Tassinari, 2023; Shoaib, 2021). Correspondingly, the conclusion of the study reflects that technology inflates the foreign language learning environment developed for children suffering from ADHD (Liontou, 2019). Subsequently, there is a difference between literacy skills and literacy outcomes in the Chinese language by providing early and later teaching (Li et al., 2008). In the same way, the study finds that language teaching skills and techniques developed through virtual classes (Levy et al., 2009). Further, the findings of the study showed that there are different variables and learners' attitudes toward a second language that motivate language learning in a classroom (Lee, 2018). Moreover, the study findings depicted that many challenges hinder intercultural learning and teaching in a virtual language learning class (Lawrence, 2013). Furthermore, the data analysis reflected that technology helps learners learn language autonomously (Lai, 2019; Shoaib et al., 2022).

It has been observed that classroom environments affect the English language learning skills of students (Mariam et al., 2022). Alumni are supportive of learners of the English language in the classroom in middle school (Lahey & Rizopoulos, 2023). In addition, the internet-based classroom environment of language learning helps students to achieve proficiency in a second language, such as Greek, as an academic language (Kourtis-Kazoullis, 2008). In language learning, classroom-embodied learning consequences children's memory and their language-learning skills (Kosmas et al., 2019). A study investigated the importance of teachers' intervention in evaluating and administrating classroom communication (Karjalainen et al., 2020).

Klette (2023) examined the fact that teaching quality can be observed and understood by the observation of the classroom. Likewise, the crux of the study revealed that the dimension of classroom enjoyment of foreign language affects the achievement of foreign language (Anwar et al., 2022; Jin & Zhang, 2018). Correspondingly, the conclusion of the study revealed that experiences of the English foreign language of Japanese students showed emotions in poetic form (Iida & Chamcharatsri, 2022). Subsequently, the study summarizes that computer-assisted language learning, such as a web-enhanced interface for the new environment of language learning (Hémard & Cushion, 2000; Shoaib et al., 2021). In the same way, the study asserted that children's oral language skills depend on the better union of classroom and home environment (Han et al., 2017). Further, the conclusion of the study showed that a toddler develops learning skills by engaging in group-based learning and starting from early childcare to preschool (Goodrich et al., 2015; Shoaib et al., 2021). Moreover, the study findings depicted that a virtual flipped classroom results in reading anxiety in a foreign language classroom (Gok et al., 2023). Furthermore, the data analysis reflected that English foreign language classrooms developed self-coaching skills that encourage students to study outside of class (Fukuda et al., 2019; Shoaib et al., 2021).

The classroom environment affects the English language learning skills of students. A study delved into the quantitative methodology intensive single-case study design was used to investigate classroom

emotions of language learners (Fraschini, 2023). A similar study was developed by Ernst-Slavit & Morrison (2018) and found that language skills and content learning are developed by 4th-grade students in a diverse classroom in America. Another study was conducted on foreign language learning classrooms developing literary hypertext (Ensslin, 2006; Mariam et al., 2021). A study presented physical infrastructure that included teacher-learner interaction, a whiteboard, a worksheet, and supportive material, which helped in learning the native language by using a colonial language framework (Engman, 2021).

The study outlined that writing skills for academic purposes and critical thinking are reflected by web-quest-based learning environments for English foreign language learners (Ebadi & Rahimi, 2018). Likewise, the crux of the study revealed that the classroom environment at the school level and friends' circle are important factors that affect language learning skills (Dollmann & Rudolphi, 2020). Correspondingly, the conclusion of the study showed that taking different measures regarding classroom organization, like classroom time, management, and literacy environment, facilitates children to show varying language gains (Anwar et al., 2021; Cutler et al., 2023). Subsequently, the pointed-out issues regarding learning and teaching the Chinese language in Montreal at heritage language learning schools were highlighted (Curdt-Christiansen, 2006). In the same way, the study asserted that the Internet is useful in the learning of foreign languages (Conacher & Royall, 1998). Further, the conclusion of the study showed that the stimulated recall technique is highly effective for reflective practice in overcoming classroom anxiety in a foreign language learning classroom (Cinkara, 2016).

Moreover, the study findings depicted that in flipped classrooms, questioning strategies and game-based learning are highly effective in helping students learn language and enhance their performance (Chen & Yeh, 2019). Furthermore, the data analysis reflected that acquiring dual language in a classroom is supported through a self-regulated and co-regulated learning environment in an elementary school (Cerda et al., 2020).

Blended learning, which includes information and communication technology, is more useful for the development of language skills (Bueno-Alastuey & López Pérez, 2014). In addition, Bosmans and Hurd (2016) conducted a study and found that pronunciation skills are attained through distance settings, which also causes low levels of foreign language anxiety. Another study showed that the classroom is highly effective in learning English as a second language in a flipped classroom environment (Anwar et al., 2013; Bicen & Beheshti, 2022). The professional development model is beneficial for teachers' training to improve the classroom environment and also assess children for their literacy and oral language (Abbott et al., 2011).

The Data and Methods

Study Design and Population: This study is based on the quantitative approach. It is worth mentioning that students enrolled in the BS (4 Years) program at the university were the population of this study. However, the students of the Faculty of Arts constitute the target population of this study.

Sample and Sampling: The element of the present study was the students of BS 6th and 8th semester from the Faculty of Arts, University of Gujrat. A complete list of attendance has been collected from the administrative office of the related departments. A proportionate random sampling technique was used to draw the sample from the target population. The researcher calculated the sample size by using the sample size determination formula (Yamane, 1967);

$n = N / 1 + N (e)^2$

Here,

N= Total number of students

e= Margin of errors, i.e., .05

n= Sample size

After the calculation of the sample, a sample of 238 students was selected, and only 176 students participated in the study.

Technique and Tool of Data Collection: The present study is quantitative, and a cross-sectional survey was used as a data collection technique. The rationale for opting for this technique has been based on the objectives, sample, and nature of the study. A well-structured questionnaire was administered and used to collect information. Close-ended questions were used to collect data. The questionnaire consisted of an attitudinal scale of (dis)agreement. The response of the students was scored as strongly agree to strongly disagree.

Pilot Testing: Before the collection of the actual data, the measurement tool was tested on 30 randomly selected students from the target population. The value of Alpha has been given below;

Table 1

Reliability test

Sr. No.	Variables	Code	Item	Alpha value
i	Physical Infrastructure	PHIN	8	.718
ii	Teacher-Student interaction	TESI	8	.725
iii	Classroom Discussion	CLDI	8	.767
iv	Presentation and Assignment Activity	PAAA	8	.732
v	Study Support Material	STSM	8	.754
vi	Time Management	TIMA	8	.713
vii	Classroom Environment (i+ii+iii+iv+v+vi)	CLEN	48	.852
viii	Reading Skill	RESK	8	.722
ix	Listening Skill	LISK	8	.728
x	Writing Skill	WRSK	8	.761
xi	Speaking Skill	SPSK	8	.772
xii	English Language Learning Skills (viii+ix+x+xi)	ELLS	32	.869
	Total		80	.920

Data Analysis: The data has been analyzed and presented along with interpretations. The socio-demographic analysis presents frequency distribution, normality test, and Kendall's tau_b test, which has been employed to present results and conclusions.

Results and Discussion

This section provides the inferential statistics of the data and its interpretations as follows;

Table 2

Socio-demographic profile of the student

Variables	Frequency	Percentage
Gender		
Male	24	13.6
Female	152	86.4
Total	176	100.0
Family Occupation		
Agriculture	35	19.9
Government Job	31	17.6
Private Job	25	14.2
Business	60	34.1
Abroad	18	10.2
Retired	04	02.3
Unemployed	03	01.7
Total	176	100.0

Variables	Frequency	Percentage
Siblings		
No Sibling	02	01.1
1 – 2	14	8.0
3 – 4	91	51.7
5 – 6	57	32.4
7 & Above	12	06.8
Total	176	100.0

The study findings pointed out that 86.4 per cent were female participants and 13.6 per cent were male participants who participated in this study. The study findings outline that 34.1 per cent of the students were from a business background, and 01.7 per cent of the students were from an unemployed background. The study findings indicated that 51.7 per cent of the students have 3-4 siblings. Similarly, the study asserted that 01.1 per cent of the students had no siblings.

Table 3

Normality test

Variables	Kolmogorov-Smirnov[a]			Shapiro–Wilk		
	Statis.	df	Sig.	Statis.	Df	Sig.
Physical Infrastructure	.083	176	.005	.990	176	.227
Teacher-student Interaction	.125	176	.000	.976	176	.003
Classroom Discussion	.083	176	.005	.985	176	.062
Presentation Assignment Activity	.088	176	.002	.980	176	.013
Study Support Material	.116	176	.000	.961	176	.000
Time Management	.136	176	.000	.949	176	.000
Reading Skill	.085	176	.003	.978	176	.008
Listening skill	.150	176	.000	.912	176	.000
Writing Skill	.135	176	.000	.959	176	.000
Speaking Skill	.111	176	.000	.978	176	.007
English Language Learning Skills	.077	176	.012	.957	176	.000
Classroom Environment	.036	176	.200[*]	.976	176	.004

*. This is a lower bound of the true significance.

a. Lilliefors Significance Correction

Table 3 depicts the normality test of the variables. The primary data analysis revealed that the calculated values of the Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Shapiro-Wilk test confirm that the normality of data is non-parametric. Hence, the researcher applied a non-parametric statistical test such as Kendall's tau_b.

Table 4

Kendall's tau_b test (independent sub-variables and dependent variable)

Variables	PHIN	TESI	CLDI	PAAA	STSM	TIMA	RESK
PHIN	1.000	.415**	.246**	.208**	.321**	.239**	.178**
TESI		1.000	.433**	.316**	.323**	.266**	.300**
CLDI			1.000	.327**	.183**	.102	.315**
PAAA				1.000	.390**	.331**	.365**
STSM					1.000	.409**	.218**
TIMA						1.000	.293**
RESK							1.000

Table 4 describes Kendall's tau b statistical test between the sub-variable of the independent variable (classroom environment) and the dependent variable (English language learning skills). It is stated that all the variables have significant positive, weak, moderate, and high correlations.

Table 5

Kendall's tau_b test between sub-variables of English language learning skills

Variables	RESK	LISK	WRSK	SPSK
RESK	1.000	.441**	.548**	.400**
LISK		1.000	.428**	.328**
WISK			1.000	.420**
SPSK				1.000

Table 5 provides Kendall's tau_b statistical test between two sub-variables of English language learning skills among students at the tertiary level. The primary data analysis asserted that there is a moderate positive correlation (r=.441) between reading skills and listening skills among students at the tertiary level. Similarly, the study findings asserted that there is a moderate positive correlation (r=.548) between reading skills and writing skills among students at the tertiary level. Likewise, the crux of the study revealed that there is a moderate positive correlation (r=.400) between reading skills and speaking skills among students at the tertiary level. Subsequently, the study summarized that there is a moderate positive correlation (r=.428) between listening skills and writing skills among students at the tertiary level. Further, the conclusion of the study showed that there is a weak positive correlation (r=.328) between listening skills and speaking skills among students at the tertiary level. The study findings asserted that there is a moderate positive correlation (r=.420) between writing skills and Speaking skills among students at the tertiary level.

Table 6

Kendall's tau_b test between classroom environment and English language learning

Variables			Classroom Environment	English Language Learning Skills
Kendall's tau_b	Classroom Environment	Correlation Coefficient	1.000	.388**
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.	.000
		N	176	176
	English Language Learning Skills	Correlation Coefficient	.388**	1.000
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.
		N	176	176

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Table 6 outlines Kendall's tau-b statistical test between classroom environment and English Language Learning Skills among university students. The analysis revealed that there is a moderate positive correlation between classroom environment and English language learning skills (r=.388).

Conclusion

The study concludes that classroom environments have a favourable effect on English language learning skills among students in higher education institutions. The physical infrastructure, along with teacher-student interaction, has a positive effect on the English language learning skills of the students. Similarly, the study also concludes that classroom discussion presentations and assignment activities enhance the confidence of the students and also result in improving speaking and reading skills among students at the tertiary level. Likewise, the findings of the primary data also conclude that study support material and time management during the study have a favourable effect on listening and writing skills among students at the tertiary level. The study findings assert that all the variables have a significant correlation with English language learning skills among students at the tertiary level.

References

Abbott, M., Atwater, J., Lee, Y., & Edwards, L. (2011). A Data-Driven Preschool PD Model for Literacy and Oral Language Instruction. *NHSA Dialog, 14*(4), 229-245. https://doi.org/10.1080/15240754.2011.613126

Ali, Z., Masroor, F., & Khan, T. (2020). Creating a Positive Classroom Environment For Learners' Motivation Towards Communicative Competence in The English Language. *Journal of the Research Society of Pakistan, 57*(1), 317. https://pu.edu.pk/images/journal/history/PDF-FILES/24__57_1_20.pdf

Anwar, B., Shoaib, M., & Javed, S. (2013). Women's autonomy and their role in decision making at household level: a case of rural Sialkot, Pakistan. *World Applied Sciences Journal, 23*(1), 129-136. https://doi.org/10.5829/idosi.wasj.2013.23.01.13040

Anwar, B., Shoaib, M., & E-Mustafa, R. (2022). Factors influencing students' willingness to use the academic library after COVID-19 lockdown. *International Information & Library Review*, *55*(2), 136-142. https://doi.org/10.1080/10572317.2022.2089528

Anwar, B., Shoaib, M., & Shahid, U. (2024). Academic Performance of the Students in Higher Education in Pakistan: The Role of Information and Communication Technology. *Pakistan Social Sciences Review, 8*(2), 472-482. https://doi.org/10.35484/pssr.2024(8-II-S)41

Anwar, B., Shoaib, M., & Zahra, N. (2021). English Language Learning Skill at Tertiary Level: The Role of Classroom Environment and Interactive Demonstration. *Pakistan Journal of Language Studies, 5*(1), 1-17. https://pjls.gcuf.edu.pk/index.php/pjls/article/view/112

Bicen, H., & Beheshti, M. (2022). Assessing perceptions and evaluating achievements of ESL students with the usage of infographics in a flipped classroom learning environment. *Interactive Learning Environments*, *30*(3), 498–526. https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2019.1666285

Bosmans, D., & Hurd, S. (2016). Phonological attainment and foreign language anxiety in distance language learning: a quantitative approach. *Distance Education, 37*(3), 287-301. https://doi.org/10.1080/01587919.2016.1233049

Bueno-Alastuey, M. C., & López Pérez, M. V. (2014). Evaluation of a blended learning language course: students' perceptions of appropriateness for the development of skills and language areas. *Computer Assisted Language Learning, 27*(6), 509–527. https://doi.org/10.1080/09588221.2013.770037

Cerda, J., Bailey, A. L., & Heritage, M. (2020). Contexts for self- and Co-regulated learning in a dual-language elementary school classroom. *Language and Education*, *34*(5), 407-424. https://doi.org/10.1080/09500782.2020.1751194

Chen, C.-H., & Yeh, H.-C. (2019). Effects of integrating a questioning strategy with game-based learning on students' language learning performances in flipped classrooms. *Technology Pedagogy and Education*, *28*(3), 347–361. https://doi.org/10.1080/1475939x.2019.1618901

Cinkara, E. (2016). Reflective practice and foreign language classroom anxiety: video-stimulated recall at work. *Reflective Practice, 17*(6), 694-707. https://doi.org/10.1080/14623943.2016.1206880

Conacher, J. E., & Royall, F. (1998). An evaluation of the use of the Internet for the purposes of foreign language learning. *Language Learning Journal*, *18*(1), 37–41. https://doi.org/10.1080/09571739885200231

Curdt-Christiansen, X. L. (2006). Teaching and Learning Chinese: Heritage Language Classroom Discourse in Montreal. *Language, Culture and Curriculum, 19*(2), 189-207. https://doi.org/10.1080/07908310608668762

Cutler, L., Schachter, R. E., Gabas, C., Piasta, S. B., Purtell, K. M., & Helsabeck, N. P. (2023). Patterns of classroom organization in classrooms where children exhibit higher and lower language gains. *Early Education and Development*, *34*(5), 1128–1146. https://doi.org/10.1080/10409289.2022.2106766

Dollmann, J., & Rudolphi, F. (2020). Classroom composition and language skills: the role of school class and friend characteristics. *British Journal of Sociology of Education, 41*(8), 1200-1217. https://doi.org/10.1080/01425692.2020.1799754

Ebadi, S., & Rahimi, M. (2018). An exploration into the impact of WebQuest-based classroom on EFL learners' critical thinking and academic writing skills: a mixed-methods study. *Computer Assisted Language Learning, 31*(5-6), 617-651. https://doi.org/10.1080/09588221.2018.1449757

Engman, M. M. (2021). A worksheet, a whiteboard, a teacher-learner: leveraging materials and colonial language frames for multimodal indigenous language learning. *Classroom Discourse*, 12(1–2), 75–100. https://doi.org/10.1080/19463014.2020.1856696

Ensslin, A. (2006). Literary hypertext in the foreign language classroom: a case study report. *Language Learning Journal*, 33(1), 13–21. https://doi.org/10.1080/09571730685200061

Ernst-Slavit, G., & Morrison, S. J. (2018). "Unless you were Native American...Everybody came from another country:" language and content learning in a grade 4 diverse classroom. *The Social Studies*, 109(6), 309-323. https://doi.org/10.1080/00377996.2018.1539700

Fraschini, N. (2023). Language learners' emotional dynamics: insights from a Q methodology intensive single-case study. *Language, Culture and Curriculum, 36*(2), 222-239. https://doi.org/10.1080/07908318.2022.2133137

Fukuda, S. T., Sakata, H., & Pope, C. J. (2017). Developing self-coaching skills in university EFL classrooms to encourage out-of-class study time. *Innovation in Language Learning and Teaching*, 13(2), 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1080/17501229.2017.1378659

Gok, D., Bozoglan, H., & Bozoglan, B. (2023). Effects of online flipped classroom on foreign language classroom anxiety and reading anxiety. *Computer Assisted Language Learning, 36*(4), 840-860. https://doi.org/10.1080/09588221.2021.1950191

Goodrich, S., Mudrick, H., & Robinson, J. (2015). The Transition from Early Child Care to Preschool: Emerging Toddler Skills and Readiness for Group-Based Learning. *Early Education and Development, 26*(7), 1035-1056. https://doi.org/10.1080/10409289.2015.1006978

Gottfried, M. A. (2014). The positive peer effects of classroom diversity: Exploring the relationship between English language learner classmates and socioemotional skills in early elementary school. *The Elementary School Journal, 115*(1), 22-48. https://doi.org/10.1086/676948

Han, J., Schlieber, M., & Gregory, B. (2017). Associations of Home and Classroom Environments with Head Start Children's Code-Related and Oral Language Skills. *Journal of Education for Students Placed at Risk (JESPAR), 22*(4), 200-219. https://doi.org/10.1080/10824669.2017.1347044

Hémard, D., & Cushion, S. (2000). Authoring a Web-enhanced interface for a new language-learning environment. *ALT-J, 8*(1), 41-49. https://doi.org/10.1080/0968776000080105

Jin, Y., & Zhang, L. J. (2021). The dimensions of foreign language classroom enjoyment and their effect on foreign language achievement. *International Journal of Bilingual Education and Bilingualism*, 24(7), 948–962. https://doi.org/10.1080/13670050.2018.1526253

Karjalainen, S., Sahlén, B., Falck, A., Brännström, J., & Lyberg-Åhlander, V. (2020). Implementation and evaluation of a teacher intervention program on classroom communication. *Logopedics Phoniatrics Vocology, 45*(3), 110-122. https://doi.org/10.1080/14015439.2019.1595131

Klette, K. (2023). Classroom observation as a means of understanding teaching quality: towards a shared language of teaching? *Journal of Curriculum Studies, 55*(1), 49-62. https://doi.org/10.1080/00220272.2023.2172360

Kosmas, P., Ioannou, A., & Zaphiris, P. (2019). Implementing embodied learning in the classroom: effects on children's memory and language skills. *Educational Media International, 56*(1), 59-74. https://doi.org/10.1080/09523987.2018.1547948

Kourtis-Kazoullis, V. (2008). From theory to practice: The creation of an internet-based language learning environment for academic language proficiency in Greek as a second language. *Innovation in Language Learning and Teaching, 2*(3), 289–301. https://doi.org/10.1080/17501220802283160

Lahey, T., & Rizopoulos, L. (2023). Learning from alumni: Creating middle school classrooms that support English language learners. *Middle School Journal, 54*(1), 32-40. https://doi.org/10.1080/00940771.2022.2142005

Lai, C. (2019). Learning beliefs and autonomous language learning with technology beyond the classroom. *Language Awareness, 28*(4), 291-309. https://doi.org/10.1080/09658416.2019.1675679

Lawrence, G. (2013). A working model for intercultural learning and engagement in collaborative online language learning environments. *Intercultural Education, 24*(4), 303-314. https://doi.org/10.1080/14675986.2013.809247

Lee, J. H. (2018). Exploring relationships between second language learners' attitudes towards classroom language and variables that motivate their learning. *Language Awareness, 27*(3), 243-258. https://doi.org/10.1080/09658416.2018.1430148

Levy, M., Wang, Y., & Chen, N.-S. (2009). Developing the skills and techniques for online language teaching: a focus on the process. *Innovation in Language Learning and Teaching, 3*(1), 17–34. https://doi.org/10.1080/17501220802655417

Li, H., Corrie, L. F., & Wong, B. K. M. (2008). Early teaching of Chinese literacy skills and later literacy outcomes. *Early Child Development and Care, 178*(5), 441–459. https://doi.org/10.1080/03004430600789365

Liontou, T. (2019). Foreign language learning for children with ADHD: evidence from a technology-enhanced learning environment. *European Journal of Special Needs Education, 34*(2), 220-235. https://doi.org/10.1080/08856257.2019.1581403

Ludwig, C., & Tassinari, M. G. (2023). Foreign language learner autonomy in online learning environments: the teachers' perspectives. *Innovation in Language Learning and Teaching, 17*(2), 217-234. https://doi.org/10.1080/17501229.2021.2012476

Ma, L. P. F. (2019). Examining the functions of L1 use through teacher and student interactions in an adult migrant English classroom. *International Journal of Bilingual Education and Bilingualism, 22*(4), 386-401. https://doi.org/10.1080/13670050.2016.1257562

Macrory, G., Chrétien, L., & Luis Ortega-Martín, J. (2012). Technologically enhanced language learning in primary schools in England, France and Spain: developing linguistic competence in a technologically enhanced classroom environment. *Education 3-13, 40*(4), 433-444. https://doi.org/10.1080/03004279.2012.691376

Madonsela, S. (2015). Language anxiety caused by the single mode of instruction in multilingual classrooms: The case of African language learners. *Africa Education Review, 12*(3), 447–459. https://doi.org/10.1080/18146627.2015.1110910

Manegre, M., & Sabiri, K. A. (2022). Online language learning using virtual classrooms: an analysis of teacher perceptions. *Computer Assisted Language Learning, 35*(5-6), 973-988. https://doi.org/10.1080/09588221.2020.1770290

Mariam, S., Anwar, B., & Shoaib, M. (2022). English for Specific Purposes: An Evaluation of 8Th Class Textbook of English. *Jahan-e-Tahqeeq, 5*(2), 112-119. https://jahan-e-tahqeeq.com/index.php/jahan-e-tahqeeq/article/view/631

Mariam, S., Anwar, B., Shoaib, M., & Rasool, S. (2021). Literacy and Numeracy Drive: An Evaluation of Class Three English Textbook of Punjab. *Journal of Critical Reviews, 8*(2), 938-946. https://doi.org/10.31838/jcr.08.02.97

Mart, Ç. T. (2019). A comparison of form-focused, content-based and mixed approaches to literature-based instruction to develop learners' speaking skills. *Cogent Education, 6*(1), 1660526. https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2019.1660526

Qutob, M. M. (2018). The relationship between EFL learners' satisfaction within the classroom environment and their speaking skills. *English Language Teaching, 11*(7), 116-124. https://doi.org/10.5539/elt.v11n7p116

Shoaib, M. (2021). *Sociological Analysis of Teachers Perspectives on Students Academic Performance in Higher Education in the Punjab* [PhD Thesis]. International Islamic University Islamabad, Central Library.

Shoaib, M., Ali, N., Anwar, B., & Abdullah, F. (2022). Library services and facilities in higher education institutions during coronavirus disease (COVID-19) in Pakistan. *Journal of Information Science*, 1-14. https://doi.org/10.1177/01655515221141035

Shoaib, M., Ali, N., Anwar, B., Rasool, S., Mustafa, R.-e., & Zici, S. (2021). Research Visualization on Teaching, Language, Learning of English and Higher Education Institutions from 2011 to 2020: A Bibliometric Evidences *Library Philosophy and Practice, 5677*, 1-27. https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/libphilprac/5677

Shoaib, M., Ali, N., Anwar, B., & Shaukat, B. (2021). Plotting the Literature on Learning Outcomes and Academic Performance in Higher Education from 2001 to 2020: A Scientometric Analysis. *Library Philosophy and Practice, 5919*, 1-24. http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/libphilprac/5919

Shoaib, M., Anwar, B., & Mustafa, R. (2022). Moral Literacy and Islamic Values among Students at Tertiary Level. *Al-Āfāq Islamic Research Journal*, *2*(2), 1-11. http://alaafaqjournal.com/index.php/alaafaq/article/view/67

Shoaib, M., Anwar, B., & Rasool, S. (2022). Factors Affecting EFL Teaching Skills at Higher Education Institutions in Pakistan: An Analysis of Teachers' Perspective. *Pakistan Journal of Language Studies*, *15*(1), 1-15. https://pjls.gcuf.edu.pk/index.php/pjls/article/view/156

Shoaib, M., Rasool, S., & Anwar, B. (2021). Evaluating Research Support Facilities to University Students during COVID-19. *Library Philosophy and Practice*, *4953*(1), 1-18. https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/libphilprac/4953/

Shoaib, M., Rasool, S., Anwar, B., & Ali, R. (2023). Academic library resources and research support services to English teachers in higher education institutions. *Journal of Electronic Resources Librarianship*, *35*(1), 17–27. https://doi.org/10.1080/1941126x.2023.2165240

Sytsma, M., Panahon, C., & Houlihan, D. D. (2019). Peer Tutoring as a Model for Language and Reading Skills Development for Students who are English Language Learners. *Journal of Applied School Psychology, 35*(4), 357-379. https://doi.org/10.1080/15377903.2019.1597796

Tarrayo, V. N., Paz, R. M. O., & Gepila Jr, E. C. (2023). The shift to flexible learning amidst the pandemic: the case of English language teachers in a Philippine state university. *Innovation in Language Learning and Teaching, 17*(1), 130-143. https://doi.org/10.1080/17501229.2021.1944163

Thu, N. T. H. (2020). Communication skills and Reflection Practice in smart English teaching and learning environment: A case study. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning (iJET)*, *15*(17), 221-237. https://doi.org/10.3991/ijet.v15i17.15235

Too, W. K. (2023). 'People are already doing it': Malaysian English language teachers' perceptions on translanguaging. *Asian Englishes, 25*(2), 192-205. https://doi.org/10.1080/13488678.2023.2214769

Ubbes, V. A., Geller, K., & Whitesel, S. (2024). Development and Validation of a Functional Health Literacy Survey for School Gardening. *American Journal of Health Education*, 1-13. https://doi.org/10.1080/19325037.2024.2338054

Wan, Z. H., & Cheng, M. H. M. (2019). Classroom learning environment, critical thinking and achievement in an interdisciplinary subject: a study of Hong Kong secondary school graduates. *Educational Studies*, *45*(3), 285–304. https://doi.org/10.1080/03055698.2018.1446331

Weger, H. (2013). International students' attitudes toward L2-English classroom activities and language skills in the USA. *Innovation in Language Learning and Teaching*, *7*(2), 139-157. https://doi.org/10.1080/17501229.2012.733007

Wong, A. F. L., Quek, C.-L., Divaharan, S., Liu, W.-C., Peer, J., & Williams, M. D. (2006). Singapore students' and teachers' perceptions of computer-supported project work classroom learning environments. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education*, *38*(4), 449–479. https://doi.org/10.1080/15391523.2006.10782469

Yakob, S., Jawawi, R., Shahrill, M., & Jaidin, J. H. (2023). The effects of flipped classroom in English language communicative skills. *International Journal of Essential Competencies in Education*, *2*(2), 88-127. https://doi.org/10.36312/ijece.v2i2.1394

Yang, X., Zhou, X., & Hu, J. (2022). Students' preferences for seating arrangements and their engagement in cooperative learning activities in college English blended learning classrooms in higher education. *Higher Education Research & Development, 41*(4), 1356-1371. https://doi.org/10.1080/07294360.2021.1901667

Yin, H., Lee, J. C. K., & Zhang, Z. (2009). Examining Hong Kong students' motivational beliefs, strategy use and their relations with two relational factors in classrooms. *Educational Psychology, 29*(6), 685-700. https://doi.org/10.1080/01443410903218844

Yuretich, R. F., & Kanner, L. C. (2015). Examining the Effectiveness of Team-Based Learning (TBL) in Different Classroom Settings. *Journal of Geoscience Education, 63*(2), 147-156. https://doi.org/10.5408/13-109.1

Yusuf, Q., Jusoh, Z., & Yusuf, Y. Q. (2019). Cooperative Learning Strategies to Enhance Writing Skills among Second Language Learners. *International Journal of Instruction, 12*(1), 1399-1412. https://doi.org/10.29333/iji.2019.12189a